

From: Sgraves@TechLawInc.com
Sent: 2/28/2012 1:03:54 PM
To: "Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA" <Fetzer.Richard@epamail.epa.gov>; "Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US" <Rupert.Richard@epamail.epa.gov>
CC: "Nance, Gene" <Gnance@TechLawInc.com>; "Kelley Chase/R3/USEPA/US@EPA" <Chase.Kelley@epamail.epa.gov>; "Joanna McDonald/R3/USEPA/US@EPA" <mcdonald.joanna@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Dimock - Revised Analytical Requests for March Sampling

OK. Thanks. I will have it submitted to Ft. Meade.

Suddha Graves
TechLaw, Inc.

From: Richard Fetzer [mailto:Fetzer.Richard@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 1:03 PM
To: Richard Rupert
Cc: Graves, Suddha; Nance, Gene; Kelley Chase; mcdonald.joanna@epa.gov
Subject: Re: Dimock - Revised Analytical Requests for March Sampling

I approve this.

Richard M. Fetzer
Federal On-Scene Coordinator
100 Gypsum Road
Stroudsburg, PA 18360
(215) 341-6307

From: Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US
To: "Graves, Suddha" <Sgraves@TechLawInc.com>
Cc: "Nance, Gene" <Gnance@TechLawInc.com>, Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/28/2012 12:28 PM
Subject: Re: Dimock - Revised Analytical Requests for March Sampling

Fetzer, I am fine with the revised plans, and agree that not running PAHs is the correct choice as I mentioned in my earlier email to you. I recommend you approve the requests as submitted by Suddha.

Richard Rupert,
On-Scene Coordinator
U.S. EPA
1650 Arch Street - 3HS31
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 814-3463 - office
215 514-8773 - mobile
rupert.richard@epa.gov

From: "Graves, Suddha" <Sgraves@TechLawInc.com>
To: Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US
Cc: Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, "Nance, Gene" <Gnance@TechLawInc.com>
Date: 02/27/2012 05:40 PM
Subject: Dimock - Revised Analytical Requests for March Sampling

Rupert,

Based upon the recommendations submitted by Stevie Wilding on 2/27/12, I have attached the revised analytical requests for your review and approval.

I have made all the recommended changes noted by her, except for the PAH-SIM analysis. I would think that since Region 3 is currently not able to perform this analysis, there would not be ample time to get another Region to perform the analysis. Also, since it wasn't performed in the Jan – Feb 2012 sampling, we probably would not want to add it now. Your thoughts?

If you agree with the requests and to not perform the PAH-SIM analysis, please let me know and we will formally submit the analytical request to Ft. Meade.

Thanks,

Suddha Graves

TechLaw, Inc.

2208 Warwood Ave

Wheeling, WV 26003

304-230-1230 (office)

304-830-1441 (cell)

304-232-5006 (fax)

[attachment "March Draft R3_sg.doc" deleted by Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US] [attachment "March Draft R9 & R2_sg.doc" deleted by Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US] [attachment "Dimock RLs_March 2012.XLS" deleted by Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US]